1		The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	AT SEATTLE		
9	SUSAN SOTO PALMER et al.,	Case No.: 3:22-cv-5035-RSL	
10	Plaintiffs,		
l 1 l 2	v.		
13	STEVEN HOBBS, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Washington, et al.,		
14	Defendants,		
15	and		
16	JOSE TREVINO et al.,		
17	Intervenor-Defendants.		
18 19	BENANCIO GARCIA III,	Case No.: 3:22-cv-5152-RSL-DGE-LJCV	
20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. STEVEN HOBBS, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Washington, et al., Defendants.	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S, SOTO PALMER INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS', AND GARCIA PLAINTIFF'S JOINT RESPONSE TO SOTO PALMER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION REGARDING TRIAL SCHEDULE	
24252627	"Responding Parties"), at the request of the Cou	Intervenor-Defendants, and <i>Garcia</i> Plaintiff (the art (see Soto Palmer ECF No. 177) file this Joint Clarification Regarding Trial Schedule ("Motion	

STATE OF WA'S, INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS' 1 AND *GARCIA* PLAINTIFF'S JOINT RESPONSE TO *PALMER* PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION Nos. 3:22-CV-5035 & 3:22-CV-5152 Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200 Seattle, Washington 98104 PHONE: (206) 207-3920

for Clarification"), (*Soto Palmer*, ECF No. 174; *Garcia*, ECF No. 57). Because, with reasonable procedural agreements, all Parties in both *Soto Palmer* and *Garcia* can present the Court with necessary evidence in the long scheduled five-day trial setting, and because, contrary to the *Soto Palmer* Plaintiffs' prior claims, the key witnesses will be available the week of June 5, there is no need to rearrange the trial schedule on the eve of trial. (*See Soto Palmer*, ECF No. 137 (second amended order setting trial date); *Garcia*, ECF No. 27 (order setting amended trial date).) Maintaining the present trial setting will not only preserve limited judicial resources, but, between the various out-of-town attorneys, witnesses, and experts, it would also significantly lower the financial burden of the Parties.

I. Meet and Confer

At the encouragement of the Court, all Parties participated in a meet and confer via Zoom on May 4, 2023. (See ECF No. 177 ("[T]he parties in both cases are strongly encouraged to meet and confer in an attempt to develop a joint proposal that keep the current trial schedule and meets the needs of all concerned.")). The Parties, met for about an hour and were joined for part of the meeting by counsel for the Redistricting Commissioners and counsel for most legislative staff. Prior to the meet-and-confer, there appears to have been some misunderstandings and confusion, which led Soto Palmer Plaintiffs to notify the Court that several necessary witnesses would be unavailable during the week of June 5, 2023. But during the conference, counsel for the Redistricting Commissioners (Aaron Millstein) and legislative staff (Jessica Goldman) informed all Parties that, while some witnesses might only be available on certain days, all relevant and necessary legislative staff and Redistricting Commissioners would be available to testify live in Court during the week of June 5, 2023. Despite this, Soto Palmer Plaintiffs did not offer any proposals that would allow for all claims from Soto Palmer and Garcia to be heard while maintaining the current trial schedule—nor were they willing to participate in procedural negotiations to keep to the current schedule put forward by other parties.

Although Soto Palmer Plaintiffs would not agree to any proposals that maintained the current trial setting for all claims, all Parties were able to reach a tentative agreement on several

time-saving procedures—such as waiving opening statements, the admission of expert reports as substantive evidence, a joint evidence list that would be pre-admitted, and the admissibility of several deposition designations. Because the Responding Parties are of the opinion that—given the large amount of over-lapping evidence and witnesses—both the *Soto Palmer* and *Garcia* can be heard within the presently scheduled week of June 5, 2023, trial setting, they agreed to jointly file their trial proposal with the Court. Plaintiffs were invited to participate in this joint filing but declined.

II. June 5, 2023, Trial Proposal

At the onset, it is important to note that many of the necessary facts in both *Soto Palmer* and *Garcia* are uncontested. For example, all parties rely on publicly available U.S. Census data and Washington State election results. Further, much of the evidence consists of public records, including emails and meeting recordings and minutes. All of this evidence should be able to come in without objection. Although some contested factual matters exist, a week-long trial should sufficient to allow all Parties to present that evidence given the availability of the Commissioners and legislative witnesses during the week of June 5. Most disagreements between the Parties are legal, not factual.

What follows is a proposed framework that the Responding Parties believe would allow for an efficient, yet fair, presentation of evidence at the presently scheduled June 5, 2023, trial setting. If the Court agrees with this trial framework, additional deadlines and details must be finalized:

- All parties file Trial Statements (as presently directed in the current trial schedule) and will waive opening statements;
- Expert reports (with the exception of those that were withdrawn by the submitting party) will be entered as evidence and will serve as the direct examination for that expert. All experts whose reports that are admitted as evidence must be made available for in-person cross examination and redirect;

27

- The four voting commissioners, along with the primary staffer for each, will testify inperson at trial. No deposition testimony will be designated for these eight individuals:
 - Commissioner Brady Piñero Walkinshaw (and primary legislative staffer Ali O'Neil);
 - o Commissioner April Sims (and primary legislative staffer Osta Davis);
 - o Commissioner Joe Fain (and primary legislative staffer Anton Grose);
 - o Commissioner Paul Graves (and primary legislative staffer Paul Campos);
 - All other witnesses may testify through deposition designation. All parties would agree on a single deposition designation for each witness to be used in both matters;
- All parties would agree to a single list of pre-admitted exhibits. Parties will be liberal as to what would be permitted as pre-admitted exhibits in light of the weighing and evaluation of evidence by the Court. There would be a single exhibit list, with shared numbering, for both matters. Any exhibits not pre-admitted should be minimal;
- Each party (*Soto Palmer* Plaintiffs, the State, and *Soto Palmer* Intervenor-Defendants/*Garcia* Plaintiff) would share equal chess-clock time of approximately 1/3 of all hours for the week allotted for trial (the current estimate is approximately 10.5 hours per the three parties for the week).
 - Any party could call any witness in-person if they wished, regardless of whether they already designated deposition testimony;
 - The approximate 10.5 hours takes a traditional work-day into account.
 However, if the Court is open to increasing a trial day, more time could be allotted to each side.
 - Based on representations of counsel for the Secretary, the Secretary of State would only need approximately 30 to 60 minutes of trial time during the week, of which counsel for the State has stated would provide time from the State's allotted time:

- Due to the significant overlap in evidence and witnesses, all Parties would create a single pre-trial statement that would be submitted to the Court, as opposed to having separate documents for *Soto Palmer* and *Garcia*;
- Parties would work to accommodate individual witnesses who can only appear on certain trial days, taking certain witnesses out of order if necessary;
- Soto Palmer Plaintiffs would start evidence on Monday, and each witness would be made available for other parties to cross-examine for both matters. Unless the Court finds good cause, no witness will be called more than once;
- Each Party would get approximately one hour to present a closing argument. This
 would essentially serve as an oral argument of sorts to present legal arguments and
 answer any questions the Court might have;

III. Conclusion

Given the significant overlap in witnesses and exhibits, it would be a substantial waste of judicial and financial resources—not to mention wasted time for trial counsel and witnesses/experts that would possibly need to be recalled to a later trial setting—to bifurcate the trial at this stage. The vast majority of evidence in both *Soto Palmer* and *Garcia* is not contested and should be able to come to the Court per prior agreement of the Parties. Additionally, given the intent inquiry that is part of claims for both parties (admittedly, with different applicable legal standards), a week of in-person testimony, alongside pre-admitted record evidence, is more than sufficient for the Court to arrive at an informed position regarding the intent of the Commissioners. The trial for both *Soto Palmer* and *Garcia* should go forward as presently scheduled—during the week of June 5, 2023. The responding parties see no need to add any trial time beyond that single week. All parties have been on notice of the present trial setting for months and have had ample time to present any objections to the Court.

1	DATED this 9th day of May, 2023.	
2		Respectfully submitted,
3		s/ Andrew R. Stokesbary
4		Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA No. 46097 CHALMERS, ADAMS, BACKER & KAUFMAN, LLC
		701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200
5		Seattle, WA 98104 T: (206) 207-3920
6		dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com
7		Jason B. Torchinsky (admitted pro hac vice)
8		Phillip M. Gordon (admitted pro hac vice)
9		Andrew Pardue (admitted pro hac vice*) HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN
		TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK PLLC
10		15405 John Marshall Hwy
11		Haymarket, VA 20169
11		T: (540) 341-8808
12		jtorchinsky@holtzmanvogel.com
12		pgordon@holtzmanvogel.com
13		apardue@holtzmanvogel.com
14		Dallin B. Holt (admitted pro hac vice)
15		Brennan A.R. Bowen (admitted pro hac vice*)
13		HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN
16		TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK PLLC
		Esplanade Tower IV
17		2575 East Camelback Rd
18		Suite 860
10		Phoenix, AZ 85016 T: (540) 341-8808
19		dholt@holtzmanvogel.com
20		bbowen@holtzmanvogel.com
21		Counsel for Soto Palmer Intervenor-Defendants
22		and Garcia Plaintiff
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	* Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> in <i>Soto Palmer v. Hobbs</i> only	

STATE OF WA'S, INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS' 6 AND *GARCIA* PLAINTIFF'S JOINT RESPONSE TO *PALMER* PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION Nos. 3:22-CV-5035 & 3:22-CV-5152

1	ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General
2	s/ Andrew R.W. Hughes
3	ANDREW R.W. HUGHES, WSBA No. 49515 ERICA R. FRANKLIN, WSBA No. 43477
4	Assistant Attorneys General 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
5	Seattle, WA 98104
6	T: (206) 464-7744 andrew.hughes@atg.wa.gov
7	erica.franklin@atg.wa.gov
8	CRISTINA SEPE, WSBA No. 53609
9	Deputy Solicitor General 1125 Washington Street SE
10	PO Box 40100
11	Olympia, WA 98504-0100 T: (360) 753-6200
12	cristina.sepe@atg.wa.gov
13	Attorneys for Defendant State of Washington
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on this day I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk
3	of the Court of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington through the
4	Court's CM/ECF System, which will serve a copy of this document upon all counsel of record.
5	DATED this 9th day of May, 2023.
6	Respectfully submitted,
7	s/ Andrew R. Stokesbary
8	Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA No. 46097
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT
2	I certify that this joint response contains 1,341 words, in compliance with the Local Civi
3	Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington.
4	DATED this 9 th day of May, 2023.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	s/ Andrew R. Stokesbary
7	Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA No. 46097
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	